
Kate Langsdorf <KLangsdorf@sammamish.us>

Thu, Apr 23, 2020 at 6:01 PM

To: Miki Mullor

Cc: Dave Rudat <DRudat@sammamish.us>, Scott Hamilton

, Mike Sugg <msugg@sammamish.us>,

Karen Moran <kmoran@sammamish.us>

Thank you for your patience, Miki!

We've answered your questions and a "bonus question" 1.5, as a corollary to the first question, should you have use for it. Please let us know if you would like any further information.

Thank you!

1. What is the City's reaction to the ruling?

Responsible growth and development is one of the City's highest priorities, with particular concerns around concurrency. And concurrency is about a lot of different things beyond just traffic. It's schools, stormwater, forestry, utilities, and so much more.

The Growth Management Hearings Board directed the City to update the Comprehensive Plan within the next six months, in order to add our volume-to-capacity ratios found in our regulations and to update the associated SEPA checklist. This allows us to make these updates much sooner than expected, and the decision will aid us in fine-tuning the traffic management solutions for our community.

From Mayor Karen Moran: "The City Council has long recognized that our City's infrastructure cannot support the growth of the City; the impact on our roads and schools is a grave concern to our City's residents. This is why the City has enacted concurrency, a tool from the Growth Management Act that protects communities in Washington State from overgrowth. I am delighted that the Growth Management Hearing Board has upheld our use of concurrency which clearly validates our use of the tool while infrastructure improvement is achieved. The City will also act upon the GMHB's request to update the Comprehensive Plan so that it fully reflects our approach to infrastructure and growth. In the meantime, council has implemented a moratorium on new development permits while we make these updates. This favorable outcome is outstanding for our residents."

(1.5. Why pass a moratorium?)

A moratorium give the City Council the necessary time to evaluate the questions raised by the GMHB, and it will ensure that the community isn't disadvantaged by the Board's decision while we fully examine how best to proceed. Our City Council will be coming back together at a future Council Meeting to further refine the moratorium.

2. Does the City plan to file an appeal to the Superior Court?

"We're still evaluating our options. At the moment, we're looking at the possibility of requesting an extension of time to make the changes required by the GMHB decision. The decision currently requires that all necessary changes be made within six months, a time frame that may impose a significant challenge for the level of thoroughness we aim to achieve, particularly given the constraints of the current health crisis facing our community." – Interim City Manager David L. Rudat